



#### Benoît BRAÏDA

QMC in Apuan Alps July 2012

### A Valence Bond /Quantum Monte Carlo study of « pancake » bonding

### Laboratoire de Chimie Théorique Université Pierre et Marie Curie - Paris6

• Université Pierre et Marie Curie (Paris) :



- ≈8000 permanent (Pr.+researchers) + ≈32000 students
- Topics : mathematics, physics, chemistry, biology, medecine



• Laboratoire de Chimie théorique :

Selectivity & environment Complex (bio)organic and (bio)inorganic systems

#### Methodology

DFT, QMC, local  $\Psi$  method development

- 26 permanent (prof.+CNRS)

-~50 (+students)

**Concepts & interpretative methods** ELF, MPD, VB develop.+appli.

#### **Chemistry & universe**

Interstellar reactions, small molecules...

**Chemistry & surface** 

Reactivity on oxyde surfaces...

### • Laboratoire de Chimie théorique :

Selectivity & environment Complex (bio)organic and (bio)inorganic systems

#### Methodology

DFT, **QMC**, local  $\Psi$  method development

- 26 permanent (prof.+CNRS)

- 52 (permanent+phD+postdocs)

+ students (≈10-20)

#### **Chemistry & universe**

Interstellar reactions, small molecules...

**Chemistry & surface** 

**Concepts &** 

interpretative methods

ELF, MPD, VB

develop.+appli.

Reactivity on oxyde surfaces...

## Outline

- Valence Bond theory :
  - Motivation and basic concepts
  - Mixed Valence Bond / Quantum Monte Carlo methods
- Application on «pancake bonding» in DTCNE<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup> :
  - MO analysis and MO-based calculations
  - Qualitative VB analysis
  - Quantitative VB calculations

### • Birth and origins:



### VB: a quantum dressing of Lewis model

### • ~1930-1950s: Rise and glory



### VB dominated the mental map of chemistry

### • ~1940-1960:The MO-VB rivalry



### Successes of MO theory vs.VB «failures»

### • ~1960-1980:The downfall



Sir John A. Pople



### MO programs are developed, VB had nothing

### • ~1980-2010: small but active community



### New models, methods, programs, applications

### • 2012-...: awakening of the sleeping beauty?



### All elements for a Valence Bond revival are ready

• Concepts and models based on a localized vision :



*Lewis model, arrow-pushing language, VSEPR, hybridization,...* 

- Localized electron pairs
- Chemical bond concept

• Concepts and models based on a localized vision :



*Lewis model, arrow-pushing language, VSEPR, hybridization,...* 

- Localized electron pairs
- Chemical bond concept

• **Quantitative theory** all-interacting delocalized particles vision :

 $\hat{\mathbf{H}} \Psi = E \Psi$ 



- delocalized particles (e<sup>-</sup>, n<sup>+</sup>)
- indistinguishable and allinteracting (no chemical bond)





«I know that the computer has understood, but I would like to understand too» (Eugene Wigner)

=> how to build a bridge between quantum mechanics and chimists' vision ?

• Quantum dressing of Lewis' picture :



- VB wave functions :
  - Electrons occupy **localized** orbitals (atomics, hybrids,...)
  - A bond = two singlet-coupled electrons in two orbitals

=> bonds are essentially **covalent** + minor ionics

• Quantum dressing of Lewis' picture :



- VB wave functions :
  - Electrons occupy **localized** orbitals (atomics, hybrids,...)
  - A bond = two singlet-coupled electrons in two orbitals

=> bonds are essentially **covalent** + minor ionics

 $\Psi = \left| (c_1 \overline{h_1} + h_1 \overline{c_1})(c_2 \overline{h_2} + h_2 \overline{c_2})(c_3 \overline{h_3} + h_3 \overline{c_3})(c_4 \overline{h_4} + h_4 \overline{c_4}) \right|$ 

• When more than one Lewis structure is needed :



VB wave function : two resonating components, each one corresponding to one of the 2 structures

• When more than one Lewis structure is needed :



 $\Psi(\mathbf{1}\leftrightarrow\mathbf{2}) = C_1(\Psi_1) + C_2(\Psi_2)$ 

=> structure weights : 73% , 27%

VB wave function :
two resonating components,
each one corresponding to one
of the 2 structures

• When more than one Lewis structure is needed :



 $\Psi(\mathbf{1}\leftrightarrow\mathbf{2}) = C_1(\Psi_1) + C_2(\Psi_2)$ 

VB wave function : two resonating components, each one corresponding to one of the 2 structures

 $\Rightarrow$  structure weights : 73%, 27%

=> resonance energy :  $R.E. = E(\Psi_1) - E(\Psi_{1\leftrightarrow 2}) = 37 \text{ kcal/mol}$ 

=> rotation barrier due to resonance :

Lauvergnat+Hiberty, JACS 1997, 119, 9478.

# Ab initio VB methods

• Some *ab initio* Valence Bond methods :

- VBSCF includes static correlation : all configurations of electrons into orbitals included, coefs+orbitals optimized, but :

a **common set of orbitals** is optimized => compromise between  $\neq$  configuration



# Ab initio VB methods

• Some *ab initio* Valence Bond methods :

- VBSCF includes static correlation : all configurations of electrons into orbitals included, coefs+orbitals optimized, but :

a **common set of orbitals** is optimized => compromise between  $\neq$  configuration



- BOVB (Breathing Orbitals Valence Bond) includes dynamical correlation : the coefficients and orbitals are optimized, and furthermore...

different orbitals for different VB structures : orbitals adapted to each config.



# Why VB-QMC ?

**★** Interest : interpretative capabilities :

- deep insight into the electronic structure
- use the basic language of chemists (Lewis structures,...)
- ★ Challenges : system size strongly limited :
- Non-orthogonality !
- Algorithms scaling (~N^4-5)
- Accuracy (inclusion of static & dynamic correlation)

### => interesting way : VB / QMC mariage

$$\begin{split} & \left\langle \Psi_{x_{1}y_{1}}^{y} \left| \hat{H}_{0} \right| \Psi_{x_{2}y_{2}}^{y} \right\rangle = \left( E_{imacl}^{(0)} - \varepsilon_{i} - \varepsilon_{j} \right) \left\langle \Psi_{x_{1}y_{1}}^{y} \left| \Psi_{x_{2}y_{2}}^{y} \right\rangle \\ & + \left\{ \left( 4 s_{x_{2}x_{1}} s_{y_{2}y_{1}} - 2 s_{y_{2}x_{1}} s_{x_{2}y_{1}} \right) E_{acl}^{(0)} \right. \\ & + 4 f_{x_{2}x_{1}} s_{y_{2}y_{1}} + 4 f_{y_{2}y_{1}} s_{x_{2}x_{1}} - 2 f_{x_{2}y_{1}} s_{y_{2}y_{1}} - 2 f_{y_{2}x_{1}} s_{x_{2}y_{1}} \\ & + \left[ \left( f_{x_{1}} s_{x_{2}y_{1}} s_{y_{2}u} + f_{y_{1}} s_{y_{2}x_{1}} - 2 f_{x_{2}y_{1}} s_{y_{2}y_{1}} - 2 f_{y_{2}y_{1}} s_{x_{2}x_{1}} - 2 f_{y_{2}y_{1}} s_{x_{2}x_{1}} s_{y_{1}u} \right) \\ & + \left[ f_{x_{2}} s_{y_{2}x_{1}} s_{y_{1}u} + f_{y_{2}} s_{x_{2}y_{1}} s_{x_{1}u} - 2 f_{x_{2}} s_{y_{2}y_{1}} s_{x_{1}u} - 2 f_{y_{2}y_{2}} s_{x_{2}x_{1}} s_{y_{1}u} \right) \\ & + \left( f_{y_{2}x_{1}} s_{y_{1}u} s_{x_{2}t} + f_{x_{2}y_{1}} s_{x_{1}u} s_{y_{2}t} - 2 f_{x_{2}x_{1}} s_{y_{1}u} s_{y_{2}t} - 2 f_{y_{2}y_{1}} s_{x_{1}u} s_{y_{2}v} s_{y_{1}w} \right) \\ & + \left[ f_{u} \left( s_{y_{2}x_{1}} s_{x_{2}v} s_{y_{1}w} + s_{x_{2}y_{1}} s_{y_{2}v} s_{x_{1}w} - 2 s_{y_{2}y_{1}} s_{x_{2}v} s_{x_{1}w} - 2 s_{x_{2}x_{1}} s_{y_{2}v} s_{x_{1}w} - 2 s_{x_{2}x_{1}} s_{y_{2}v} s_{y_{1}w} \right) \right] \Pi^{tv,twv} \\ & + f_{u} s_{y_{1}v_{1}} s_{x_{2}v} s_{y_{1}w} + f_{y_{1}} s_{y_{2}u} s_{x_{1}v} s_{x_{2}w} + f_{x_{2}} s_{y_{2}v} s_{x_{1}u} - 2 f_{x_{2}x_{1}} s_{y_{2}v_{1}} \right) \\ & + \left( f_{x_{1}} s_{x_{2}v} s_{y_{1}v} s_{y_{2}x_{1}} - 2 s_{x_{2}x_{1}} s_{y_{2}v} s_{x_{1}w} - 2 f_{x_{2}x_{2}} s_{y_{2}v} s_{x_{1}w} \right) \right] \Pi^{tv,twv} \\ & + f_{u} s_{y_{1}v_{1}} s_{y_{2}x_{1}} + f_{y_{2}} s_{x_{2}x_{1}} s_{y_{1}u} - 2 f_{y_{2}} s_{x_{2}v} s_{x_{1}u} s_{y_{2}v} \right) \\ & + \left( f_{y_{1}} s_{x_{2}x_{1}} s_{y_{2}u} + f_{x_{1}} s_{y_{2}y_{1}} s_{x_{2}u} - 2 f_{y_{1}} s_{x_{2}v} s_{x_{1}u} - 2 f_{x_{2}} s_{y_{2}x_{1}} s_{y_{2}u} \right) \\ & + \left( f_{y_{1}} s_{x_{2}x_{1}} s_{y_{2}u} + f_{x_{1}} s_{y_{1}v} s_{y_{2}v} - 2 f_{x_{2}x_{1}} s_{y_{2}v} s_{x_{1}w} s_{y_{2}v} \right) \right] D^{tu} \\ & + \left( f_{y_{1}} s_{x_{2}x_{1}} s_{y_{2}v} s_{x_{1}w} + s_{x_{2}x_{1}} s_{y_{2}v} s_{y_{1}w} s_{x_{2}v} s_{x_{1}w} s_{y_$$

# Why VB-QMC ?

- **★ No non-orthogonality problem !**  $e_l(w_i) = \left(\frac{\hat{H}\psi_T}{\psi_T}\right)(w_i); \ p = |\psi_T|^2$
- ★ Efficient parallel algorithm ; and scaling : N<sub>e</sub><sup>3</sup> but with a HUGE prefactor !
- ★New form of correlated VB wave-function : **Jastrow-VBSCF**



*Jastrow function (explicit correlation : ee, en, een)* 

(static correlation)

★ Moderate size basis sets are sufficient (converged at TZP level)

**\*** We keep all the insight of a real Valence Bond wave function (VMC) : weights, separate structures calculations...

## The Jastrow function

#### ★ Padé expansion :

The Jastrow factor J is written as

$$J = J_{en}J_{ee}J_{een} = \exp(f_{en} + f_{ee} + f_{een})$$

where

$$f_{en}(R_{i\alpha}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{elec}}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_{\text{nuc}}} \left[ \left( \frac{a_1 R_{i\alpha}}{1 + a_2 R_{i\alpha}} + \sum_{p=2}^{N_{\text{ord}}} a_{p+1} R_{i\alpha}^p \right) - \left( \frac{a_1 R_c}{1 + a_2 R_c} + \sum_{p=2}^{N_{\text{ord}}} a_{p+1} R_c^p \right) \right]$$

$$f_{ee}(R_{ij}) = \sum_{i=2}^{N_{elec}} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \left[ \left( \frac{b_1 R_{ij}}{1 + b_2 R_{ij}} + \sum_{p=2}^{N_{ord}} b_{p+1} R_{ij}^p \right) - \left( \frac{b_1 R_c}{1 + b_2 R_c} + \sum_{p=2}^{N_{ord}} b_{p+1} R_c^p \right) \right]$$

$$f_{een}(R_{i\alpha}, R_{j\alpha}, R_{ij}) = \sum_{i=2}^{N_{elec}} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_{nuc}} \sum_{p=2}^{N_{ord}} \sum_{k=p-1}^{0} \sum_{l=l_{max}}^{0} c_n R_{ij}^k (R_{i\alpha}^l + R_{j\alpha}^l) (R_{i\alpha} R_{j\alpha})^m, \quad \text{where } m = \frac{p-k-l}{2}$$

and  $l_{\max}$  is p-k if  $k \neq 0$  and p-k-2 if k=0. Only terms for which  $m = \frac{p-k-l}{2}$  is an integer are included.

## The Jastrow function

#### ★ Multi-Jastrow approach :

$$\Psi_{T} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{det}} c_{k} \begin{vmatrix} e^{J_{k_{1}^{\uparrow}}(\mathbf{r}_{1}|\mathbf{r}_{i}\neq\mathbf{r}_{1})} \phi_{k_{1}^{\uparrow}}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) & \dots & e^{J_{k_{1}^{\uparrow}}(\mathbf{r}_{N_{\uparrow}}|\mathbf{r}_{i}\neq\mathbf{r}_{N_{\uparrow}})} \phi_{k_{1}^{\uparrow}}(\mathbf{r}_{N_{\uparrow}}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ e^{J_{k_{1}^{\uparrow}}(\mathbf{r}_{1}|\mathbf{r}_{i}\neq\mathbf{r}_{1})} \phi_{k_{N_{\uparrow}^{\uparrow}}(\mathbf{r}_{1})} & \dots & e^{J_{k_{N_{\uparrow}^{\uparrow}}(\mathbf{r}_{N_{\uparrow}}|\mathbf{r}_{i}\neq\mathbf{r}_{N_{\uparrow}})} \phi_{k_{1}^{\uparrow}}(\mathbf{r}_{N_{\uparrow}}) \\ e^{J_{k_{N_{\uparrow}^{\uparrow}}(\mathbf{r}_{1}|\mathbf{r}_{i}\neq\mathbf{r}_{1})} \phi_{k_{N_{\uparrow}^{\uparrow}}(\mathbf{r}_{1})} & \dots & e^{J_{k_{N_{\uparrow}^{\uparrow}}(\mathbf{r}_{N_{\uparrow}}|\mathbf{r}_{i}\neq\mathbf{r}_{N_{\uparrow}})} \phi_{k_{N_{\uparrow}^{\uparrow}}(\mathbf{r}_{N_{\uparrow}})} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} e^{J_{k_{1}^{\downarrow}}(\mathbf{r}_{N_{\uparrow}+1}|\mathbf{r}_{i}\neq\mathbf{r}_{N_{\uparrow}+1})} \phi_{k_{1}^{\downarrow}}(\mathbf{r}_{N_{\uparrow}+1}) & \dots & e^{J_{k_{1}^{\downarrow}}(\mathbf{r}_{N}|\mathbf{r}_{i}\neq\mathbf{r}_{N_{\uparrow}})} \phi_{k_{N_{\downarrow}^{\downarrow}}(\mathbf{r}_{N_{\uparrow}})} \\ e^{J_{k_{N_{\downarrow}^{\downarrow}}(\mathbf{r}_{N_{\uparrow}+1}|\mathbf{r}_{i}\neq\mathbf{r}_{N_{\uparrow}+1})} \phi_{k_{N_{\downarrow}^{\downarrow}}}(\mathbf{r}_{N_{\uparrow}+1}) & \dots & e^{J_{k_{N_{\downarrow}^{\downarrow}}(\mathbf{r}_{N}|\mathbf{r}_{i}\neq\mathbf{r}_{N_{\uparrow}})} \phi_{k_{N_{\downarrow}^{\downarrow}}(\mathbf{r}_{N_{\uparrow}})} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_k(\mathbf{r}_i | \mathbf{r}_j \neq \mathbf{r}_i) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{\alpha} \left[ s_k(\widetilde{r}_{ij}) - p_{k\alpha}(\widetilde{r}_{i\alpha}) - p_{k\alpha}(\widetilde{r}_{j\alpha}) \right. \\ &+ g_{k\alpha}^{(1)} \widetilde{r}_{i\alpha}^2 \widetilde{r}_{j\alpha}^2 + g_{k\alpha}^{(2)} (\widetilde{r}_{i\alpha}^2 + \widetilde{r}_{j\alpha}^2) \widetilde{r}_{ij}^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

Different Jastrow for active/inactive...



\* T. Bouabça, B. Braïda, and M. Caffarel, J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 133, 044111

# **VB-QMC** : benchmarking

#### De error (kcal/mol)



## Outline

- Valence Bond theory :
  - Motivation and basic concepts
  - Mixed Valence Bond / Quantum Monte Carlo methods
- Application on «pancake bonding» in DTCNE<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup> :
  - MO analysis and MO-based calculations
  - Qualitative VB analysis
  - Quantitative VB calculations

• DTCNE<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup>:



 $X^+: Na^+, Cs^+, [iPr_4N]^+, [Cr(C_6Me_3H_3)_2]^+, ...$ 

#### **Electrostatic complex ?...**

- Strong bonds
- Same bonding distance whatever the size of the cations !

• DTCNE<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup>:



Stable in solution

- Same bonding distance whatever the environment !

 $\Rightarrow$  not only electrostatic... also an interfragment bond

• Other «pancake bonding» systems :



- Same bonding distance whatever the system !

-  $d_{C--C}$  < sum of vdW radii (3.45Å) , but much longer than C-C 2e bonds (1.54Å)

• What kind of bonding in DTCNE<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup>?



Right geom : significant bonding force overcome repulsive electrostatics !

## Qualitative MO analysis



## Qualitative MO analysis



⇒ a simple 4 centers / 2 electrons bond ?


### Quantitative MO computations

#### • GVB calculations of the axial conformation :



- GVB-PP describes well 2e bonds (particularly stretched...) : not the case here !

- Contribution of dynamical correlation energy huge !

#### Situation

#### • What kind of bonding in DTCNE<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup>?



- Same bonding distance whatever the counter-ion, the environment, the system...

- MO analysis propose a 4c/2e bond, but GVB-PP fails to account for any bonding...

- Distance too short, bond energy too strong to be dispersion...

 $\Rightarrow$  try a different perspective... with VB theory !

### Qualitative VB

• Let us apply qualitative VB analysis first :

**Effective Hamiltonian :**  $H^{eff} = (h_{(1)} + h_{(2)} + h_{(3)} + ....)$ 

**Parameters:**  $\beta$ , **S**, **E** (same as in the MO framework)

1) Energy of a determinant :

$$\left\langle D_i \middle| H \middle| D_i \right\rangle = \frac{-2n\beta S}{1-S^2}$$

 $n = N^{\#}$  of neighboring ( $\uparrow \uparrow$ ) pairs

#### 2) Off diagonal terms :

- Determinants differ by 2 spinorbitals:
- Determinants differ by + than 2 spinorbitals :

$$\left\langle \left(\left|a\,\overline{b}\right|\right) \left|H\right| \left(\left|b\,\overline{a}\right|\right)\right\rangle = 2\beta_{ab}S_{ab}$$
$$\left\langle D_i \left|H\right| D_j \right\rangle = 0$$



## Qualitative VB

2e-bond: 
$$\psi_{VB} = \frac{|a\overline{b}| + |b\overline{a}|}{\sqrt{2(1+S^2)}}$$
   
 $\Rightarrow E = \langle \psi_{VB} | H | \psi_{VB} \rangle = ... = \frac{2\beta S}{(1+S^2)} = D_e(2e-bond)$ 

• Triplet repulsion : 
$$\Psi_{VB} = \frac{|a\overline{b}| \ominus |b\overline{a}|}{\sqrt{2(1+S^2)}}$$
   
 $\Rightarrow E = \langle \Psi_{VB} | H | \Psi_{VB} \rangle = \frac{\ominus 2\beta S}{(1 \ominus S^2)}$   
Same as 3e-repulsion :  $\Psi_{VB} = |a\overline{a}b|$ 

### Qualitative VB

• Elementary interactions : VB MO  $\frac{\mathbf{\beta}}{\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{S}}$  $\frac{\beta}{1+S}$ 1-e bond (A $\uparrow$ B) = **2ßS 2ß** 2-e bond (A-B) = $1+S^{2}$ 1+ S  $\frac{\beta(1-3S)}{1-S^2}$  $\frac{\beta(1-3S)}{1-S^2}$ **3-e bond**(**A**:**B**) = **-2BS -2ßS** Triplet / 3-e repulsion  $(A\downarrow\uparrow \uparrow B) =$  $1-S^2$ **1- S<sup>2</sup> -4BS -4ßS** 4-e repulsion ( $A^{\uparrow\downarrow} \downarrow^{\uparrow}B$ ) = 1- S<sup>2</sup> 1- S<sup>2</sup>

• VB set of structures for DTCNE<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup>:



#### • VB set of structures for DTCNE<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup>:



 $\Rightarrow$  No structure is bonding by itself, all the bonding comes from the resonance !

• What about three-electron bonding ?...



Left-right exchange of the negative charge, equivalent to:







• What is the three-electron bond ?...

The most common 3e bonds : the two  $\pi$  bonds in O<sub>2</sub> ground state :

VB description :

MO description :





#### • VB set of structures for DTCNE<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup>:



• 2 $\leftrightarrow$ 3 and 1 $\leftrightarrow$ 4 : **intra-fragment 3e**<sup>-</sup>  $\pi$  **bond** (upper fragment) :





• 2 $\leftrightarrow$ 3 and 1 $\leftrightarrow$ 4 : **intra-fragment 3e**<sup>-</sup>  $\pi$  **bond** (upper fragment) :



• 1 $\leftrightarrow$ 3 and 2 $\leftrightarrow$ 4 : **intra-fragment 3e**<sup>-</sup>  $\pi$  **bond** (lower fragment) :



• 1 $\leftrightarrow$ 5 and 2 $\leftrightarrow$ 6 : **inter-fragment 3e**<sup>-</sup> $\pi$  **bond** (left-hand side) :



•  $2 \leftrightarrow 5$  and  $1 \leftrightarrow 6$  : **inter-fragment 3e**<sup>-</sup>  $\pi$  **bond** (right-hand side) :





⇒ bonding in DTCNE : two inter-fragment 3e bonds ?

• «VB reading» of MO determinants :

Development of the HF determinant in the VB basis of structures :



 $\Psi_{HF} = \left| a_g \overline{a}_g b_{3u} \overline{b}_{3u} b_{2u} \overline{b}_{2u} \right| = \dots = \Theta \Psi_1^{VB} \Theta \Psi_2^{VB} + \Psi_3^{VB} + \Psi_4^{VB} \Theta \Psi_5^{VB} \Theta \Psi_6^{VB}$ 

• «VB reading» of MO determinants :

Development of the 1<sup>st</sup> excited det. in the VB basis of structures :



 $\Psi_{1-ext} = \left| a_g \overline{a}_g b_{3u} \overline{b}_{3u} b_{1g} \overline{b}_{1g} \right| = \dots = +\Psi_1^{VB} + \Psi_2^{VB} \bigoplus \Psi_3^{VB} \bigoplus \Psi_4^{VB} \bigoplus \Psi_5^{VB} \bigoplus \Psi_6^{VB}$ 

• «VB reading» of MO determinants :

Development of the 2<sup>nd</sup> excited det. in the VB basis of structures :



 $\Psi_{2-ext} = \left| a_g \overline{a}_g b_{2u} \overline{b}_{2u} \overline{b}_{1g} \overline{b}_{1g} \right| = \dots = +\Psi_1^{VB} + \Psi_2^{VB} + \Psi_3^{VB} + \Psi_4^{VB} + \Psi_5^{VB} + \Psi_6^{VB}$ 

• «VB reading» of MO determinants :

Development of the 3<sup>rd</sup> excited det. in the VB basis of structures :



 $\Psi_{3-ext} = \left| b_{3u} \overline{b}_{3u} b_{2u} \overline{b}_{2u} b_{1g} \overline{b}_{1g} \right| = \dots = \Theta \Psi_1^{VB} \Theta \Psi_2^{VB} \Theta \Psi_3^{VB} \Theta \Psi_4^{VB} + \Psi_5^{VB} + \Psi_6^{VB}$ 

• «VB reading» of MO determinants :

 $CAS(4,4) \Leftrightarrow 6 \text{ VB structures mixing :}$   $\begin{cases}
\Psi_{HF} = \left| a_{g} \overline{a}_{g} b_{3u} \overline{b}_{3u} b_{2u} \overline{b}_{2u} \right| = \dots = +\Psi_{1}^{VB} + \Psi_{2}^{VB} - \Psi_{3}^{VB} - \Psi_{4}^{VB} - \Psi_{5}^{VB} - \Psi_{6}^{VB} \\
\Psi_{1-ext} = \left| a_{g} \overline{a}_{g} b_{3u} \overline{b}_{3u} b_{1g} \overline{b}_{1g} \right| = \dots = +\Psi_{1}^{VB} + \Psi_{2}^{VB} - \Psi_{3}^{VB} - \Psi_{4}^{VB} + \Psi_{5}^{VB} + \Psi_{6}^{VB} \\
\Psi_{2-ext} = \left| a_{g} \overline{a}_{g} b_{2u} \overline{b}_{2u} b_{1g} \overline{b}_{1g} \right| = \dots = +\Psi_{1}^{VB} + \Psi_{2}^{VB} + \Psi_{3}^{VB} + \Psi_{4}^{VB} - \Psi_{5}^{VB} - \Psi_{6}^{VB} \\
\Psi_{3-ext} = \left| b_{3u} \overline{b}_{3u} b_{2u} \overline{b}_{2u} b_{1g} \overline{b}_{1g} \right| = \dots = +\Psi_{1}^{VB} + \Psi_{2}^{VB} + \Psi_{3}^{VB} + \Psi_{4}^{VB} + \Psi_{5}^{VB} + \Psi_{6}^{VB} \\
\Psi_{3-ext} = \left| b_{3u} \overline{b}_{3u} b_{2u} \overline{b}_{2u} b_{1g} \overline{b}_{1g} \right| = \dots = +\Psi_{1}^{VB} + \Psi_{2}^{VB} + \Psi_{3}^{VB} + \Psi_{4}^{VB} + \Psi_{5}^{VB} + \Psi_{6}^{VB} \\
\Psi_{3-ext} = \left| b_{3u} \overline{b}_{3u} b_{2u} \overline{b}_{2u} b_{1g} \overline{b}_{1g} \right| = \dots = +\Psi_{1}^{VB} + \Psi_{2}^{VB} + \Psi_{3}^{VB} + \Psi_{4}^{VB} + \Psi_{5}^{VB} + \Psi_{6}^{VB} \\
\Psi_{3-ext} = \left| b_{3u} \overline{b}_{3u} b_{2u} \overline{b}_{2u} b_{1g} \overline{b}_{1g} \right| = \dots = +\Psi_{1}^{VB} + \Psi_{2}^{VB} + \Psi_{3}^{VB} + \Psi_{4}^{VB} + \Psi_{5}^{VB} + \Psi_{6}^{VB} \\
\Psi_{3-ext} = \left| b_{3u} \overline{b}_{3u} b_{2u} \overline{b}_{2u} b_{1g} \overline{b}_{1g} \right| = \dots = +\Psi_{1}^{VB} + \Psi_{2}^{VB} + \Psi_{3}^{VB} + \Psi_{4}^{VB} + \Psi_{5}^{VB} + \Psi_{6}^{VB} \\
\Psi_{3-ext} = \left| b_{3u} \overline{b}_{3u} b_{2u} \overline{b}_{2u} b_{1g} \overline{b}_{1g} \right| = \dots = +\Psi_{1}^{VB} + \Psi_{2}^{VB} + \Psi_{3}^{VB} + \Psi_{4}^{VB} + \Psi_{5}^{VB} + \Psi_{6}^{VB} + \Psi_{5}^{VB} + \Psi_{6}^{VB} \\
\Psi_{3-ext} = \left| b_{3u} \overline{b}_{3u} b_{2u} \overline{b}_{2u} \overline{b}_{1g} \overline{b}_{1g} \right| = \dots = +\Psi_{1}^{VB} + \Psi_{2}^{VB} + \Psi_{3}^{VB} + \Psi_{4}^{VB} + \Psi_{5}^{VB} + \Psi_{6}^{VB} + \Psi_{6}^{VB}$ 

MO (4x4) description = VB description, but... **the VB analysis which reveals the 3e-bond nature** 

• Conclusion of the qualitative VB analysis :





#### • Diethylene dianion system test :



 $\oplus$ 

#### • Diethylene dianion system test :





SD-BOVB disappointing :

- Not accurate enough (fails to find a minimum);

 $\oplus$ 

Too long : ~20 hours to converge (4 heavy atoms, 26 electrons)

 $\oplus$ 

#### • Diethylene dianion system test :



⊕ Na

Θ

NC

• DTCNE<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup> dissociation energy :

	De (kal/mol)	Re (Å)	$\begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \\ \bigcirc \\ \bigcirc \\ \\ \square \\ \square \\ \square \\ \square \\ \square \\ \square \\ \square$
CCSD(T)/VTZ+cc	11.6	2.56	Na (t)
GVB+PT2/VTZ+cc	11.2	2.7	-
VBSCF	-24.5		←No dynamic correlation
J-VB(VMC)/VTZ★	5.2(9)		
J-VB(DMC)/VTZ★	9.7(9)		-

- VB/QMC quantitatively reliable (even when dynamical correlation is strong)
- VB/QMC can treat large systems (22 heavy atom / 90 electrons here)
  - **★** CHAMP program by C. J. UMRIGAR, C. FILIPPI and J. TOULOUSE

• DTCNE<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup>: computed weights (J-VB) :



• DTCNE<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup>: computed weights (J-VB) :



Interfragment 2e bond ?



MO/VB maping : Purely covalent  $\pi^* - \pi^*$  2-e bond = 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 (equal weights)

• DTCNE<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup>: computed weights (J-VB) :



• Interfragment 2e bond ? (covalent +  $\varepsilon$  ionic)  $\pi$ - $\pi$ \* 2-e bond =



 $1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + \frac{2}{5} (5 + 6)$ 

However,  $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}} = 3.6\%$  in a stretched C-C bond:



• DTCNE<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup>: computed weights (J-VB) :



• Interfragment 2e bond ? (covalent +  $\varepsilon$  ionic)  $\pi$ - $\pi$ \* 2-e bond =



 $1+2+3+4+ \frac{1}{2} (5+6)$ 

However,  $\mathcal{E} = 3.6\%$  in a stretched C-C bond:

 $\Rightarrow$  The inter-fragment bond cannot be a simple  $\pi$ - $\pi$ \* 2-e bond

Some tests of credibility :



Characteristics of 3e bonds :

1) Large equilibrium distances :  $d(S-S) \approx 2.0 \text{ Å}$  ;  $d(S \therefore S) \approx 2.8 \text{ Å}$ 

2) Small overlap ( $S_{opt} \approx 0.17$ )

3) Importance of dynamical correlation



1) Interfragment bond length close to 3e<sup>-</sup> bonded ethane anion :





2) Interfragment orbital overlaps close to optimal 3e<sup>-</sup> bond value :

$$S_{opt} \approx 0.17$$
  
for any 3-e bond (computed)  
(demonstrated in  
qualitative VB theory  
as well as Extended Hückel theory)

111.



3) Contribution of dynamic correlation to bonding:

- In **[F**: **F**]<sup>-</sup>, dynamic correlation contributes ~ 30 kcal/mol to bonding
- In **[TCNE]<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup>**, dynamic correlation contributes > 30 kcal/mol to bonding


## **VB/QMC** calculations



 $\Rightarrow$  Without str. 5-6, DTCNE becomes repulsive !

dimanche 29 juillet 12

- About  $[TCNE]_2^2$ -: (and b)
  - A simple VB wave function in terms of only 6 VB structures accurately describes the electronic structure of [TCNE]<sub>2</sub><sup>2–</sup>
  - Even when electrostatic interaction is repulsive (axial conformation),

the two fragment anions are directly bonded by a pair of 3-e bonds

- This simple picture explains at once :
  - 1) the unusual bond length,
  - 2) the interfragment overlap,
  - 3) the importance of dynamic correlation

• About VB-QMC:



- up to : ~30 heavy atoms
- up to : ~100 valence electrons
- accuracy matching CASPT2 or CCSD(T) on large basis sets

It does more than answering Coulson's request:

Give me insight and numbers ! (Coulson)

dimanche 29 juillet 12

#### But all this is finally about building bridges...



#### ... and to look at things from different perspectives !



#### ... and to look at things from different perspectives !



# Opening post-doc positions !

#### • 1) Maximum Probability Domains :



- With : A. SAVIN
- Col. : Oviedo (Pendas), Napoli (Causa), Gent (Bultinck), Aachen (Lüchow)

Optimisation algorithms (with CERMIS and lab. J. J. Lions), implementation of new ideas (multi-domains opt., softness,...)

• 2) VB-QMC :

With : P. HIBERTY, J. TOULOUSE
Col. : Jerusalem (Shaik), Xiamen (Wu),
Cornell (Umrigar), Aachen (Lüchow)

New Jastrows, excited states, w.f. optimization, applications