Energy derivatives in fixed-node QMC: unbiased estimates with finite variance Saverio Moroni, DEMOCRITOS, Trieste

The forces evaluated using equation (21) yield bond lengths for the SiH molecule with an error (with respect to DMC energy calculations) of much less than 0.01 Å, which is acceptable for most purposes. Of course, before claiming that the problem of calculating DMC forces is 'solved', accurate results for heavier atoms and larger systems are required. To this we should add the issues of efficient generation of the pure probability distribution for large systems, overcoming the 'infinite variance' described in section 7, obtaining accurate forms for Ψ_T and $d\Psi_T/d\lambda$, and (hopefully) removing the second approximation in equation (21). Notwithstanding these



A.Badinski, P.D.Haynes, J.R.Trail and R.J.Needs (2010)

We want an estimator of the derivatives of E_{FN}

- with finite variance
- fully consistent with E_{FN}
- to second order

Energy derivatives in QMC

$$E = \int E_L P dX/Z = \langle E_L \rangle$$

$$E' = \langle E'_L + (E_L - E)(\ln P)' \rangle$$

$$E'' = \langle E''_L + (E_L - E)(\ln P)''$$

$$+ (E_L - E)(\ln P)'(\ln P)' + 2(E'_L - E')(\ln P)' \rangle$$

$$P = \Psi^2$$
 Variational Monte Carlo $P = \Psi \Phi_{FN}$ Fixed-node QMC

these estimators have the zero-variance property but their variance is actually infinite...

Fixed-node calculation by a Metropolis algorithm

- project a trial function in imaginary time $\Psi_{\beta} = e^{-\beta H/2} \Psi = (e^{-\tau H})^{P/2} \Psi \rightarrow \Psi_{0} \qquad \tau = \beta/P$
- $G(R, R'; \tau)$ short-time approximation of $\langle R | e^{-\tau H} | R' \rangle$
- express physical quantities as discretized path integrals

$$Z_{\beta} = \langle \Psi_{\beta} | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle = \int \Psi(R_0) \prod G(R_i, R_{i+1}; \tau) \Psi(R_P) dX$$
$$= \int P(X) dX; \quad X = \{R_0, \dots, R_P\}$$
$$E_{\beta} = \frac{1}{Z_{\beta}} \langle \Psi_{\beta} | H | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_{\beta}} \int E_L(R_0) P(X) dX \ge E_0$$

- use a Metropolis algorithm (e.g. RQMC) to sample paths

a toy problem

1D particle in a box: $H = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \gamma x$, -L < x < Ltrial function (wrong on purpose): $\Psi(x) = \cos(kx)(1 + \alpha x)$

sampling probability: $P(X) = \Psi(x_0) \prod G(x_i, x_{i+1}; \tau) \Psi(x_M)$ short time approximation:

$$G(x_i, x_j : \tau) = e^{-(x_i - x_j)^2/2\tau} e^{-\gamma(x_i + x_j)} N(x_i, x_j; \tau)$$

primitive nodal (Ceperley, 1996)

$$N(x_i, x_j; \tau) = 1 - e^{-2d(x_i)d(x_j)/\tau}$$
$$d(x_i) = \left| \frac{\Psi(x_i)}{\partial_x \Psi(x_i)} \right|$$



energy vs. projection time and time step





points: calculated for different γ 's line 1: from E(0), E'(0), E''(0)line 2: fit to points

E', E'' consistent with E



$$\frac{dE}{dL}, \frac{d^2E}{dL^2}$$
 have infinite variance:

$$\frac{dE}{dL} = \left\langle \frac{dE_L}{dL} + (E_L - E) \frac{d\ln P}{dL} \right\rangle$$
$$E_L(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{L}\right)^2 + \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha x} \frac{\pi}{L} \tan \frac{\pi}{L} x$$
$$P(X) = \Psi(x_0)(1 - e^{-2d_0 d_1/\tau})(1 - e^{-2d_1 d_2/\tau}) \times \cdots$$

near a node:

$$E_L \sim 1/d \qquad P(x_i) \sim d^2(x_i)$$
$$dE_L/dL \sim 1/d^2 \qquad d\ln P/dL \sim 1/d$$

the integral of PE'_L is bounded, but the integral of $P(E'_L)^2~$ is not (likewise for $E_L(\ln P)'$)

as the walls are displaced, $L_0 \rightarrow L$, we change variable:

$$E(L) = \frac{\int dx E_L(L, y(L, x)) P(L, y(L, x)) J}{\int dx P(L, y(L, x)) J}$$

$$x \rightarrow y(L, x) = xL/L_0$$

Jacobian $J = L/L_0$

near the nodes, d remains unchanged upon displacing L: $d(L_0, x) \sim d(L, y(L, x)) \rightarrow \text{derivatives have finite variance.}$

(trade-off: change of variable puts some noise elsewhere, e.g. in the free-particle action)



blue line: polynomial fit to points (green: its quadratic part) red: $E + E'(L-1) + \frac{1}{2}E''(L-1)^2$

E', E'' consistent with interpolation of E



lithium dimer

Slater-Jastrow trial function:

- 1s,2s,2p STO 3G HF orbitals
- fit LCAO coefficients vs. n-n distance
- e-n cusp correction
- one-parameter e-e Jastrow $\exp(ar/(1+br))$

Probability density:
$$P(X) = \Psi(R_0) \prod G(R_i, R_{i+1}; \tau) \Psi(R_M)$$

short time approximation:

$$G(R_i, R_{i+1}; \tau) = e^{-(R_i - R_{i+1})^2/2\tau} (1 - e^{-2d_i d_{i+1}/\tau}) e^{-\sum u(\mathbf{r}_{\alpha\beta}^{(i)}, \mathbf{r}_{\alpha\beta}^{(i+1)})}$$

free-particle nodal pair product

cumulant approximation to pair action (Ceperley, 1983)

$$G(R', R, \epsilon) \propto e^{-(R-R')^2/2\epsilon} \left(1 - e^{-2dd'/\epsilon}\right) \left[e^{-\sum_{i < j} u(\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{r}'_{ij}, \epsilon)} \right]$$





$$dE/d\lambda \simeq (E(\lambda + \Delta) - E(\lambda))/\Delta$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \lambda \to \lambda + \Delta & \text{finite increment} \\ S \to \tilde{S} & \text{change of nodal surface} \\ d \to \tilde{d} & \text{change of nodal distance} \end{array}$



change variables near the nodes so that : $\tilde{d} = d$

$$\bar{R} = R + \xi(d)(d - \tilde{d})\hat{\tilde{d}}$$

 $\xi(d)$ smoothly goes from 0 to 1 as $d \to 0$

 $J=1-(\tilde{d}-d)\xi'(d)$ (neglecting wave function curvature)





histogram of block averages





blue line: polynomial fit to energies green line: quadratic part of the fit red line: quadratic expansion from calculated derivatives