



BEC-BCS Crossover in Cold Atoms

Andrew Morris

Theory of Condensed Matter Cavendish Laboratory University of Cambridge

Outline

• Theory

- Cold Atoms
- BEC-BCS Crossover
- Feshbach Resonance
- Universal number, ξ
- Previous Work
- Our method
- Modelling the interaction
- Pairing wavefunctions

• (Results)

- Total Energy
- Condensate fraction

• Future work

Theory

Cold Atoms

- Bose Gas *BEC (1995)*
 - Quantised Vortices
 - Propagation of solitons
- Fermi Gas *e.g.* ⁶*Li*, ⁴⁰*K*, ²*H*

• Vary the interaction strength between fermionic atoms...

BEC-BCS crossover

• Strong pairing :



• Weak pairing :



- Atoms form molecules of up and down spin
- These molecules are bosonic
- Bosonic molecules condense into BEC
- Atoms interact over a long range
- BCS theory

- Interesting point at *unitarity* :
 - Dilute : Interatomic potential range << Interparticle distance
 - Strongly interacting : Scattering length >> Interparticle distance
- How would this occur?

Feshbach Resonance

• 2 channels corresponding to different spin states

- Open channel (scattering process)
- Closed channel (bound state)

• *Resonance* occurs when Open and Closed channel energies are close

• Channel energies are *tuned* by a magnetic field



From Giorgini et al eprint cond-mat 0706.3360v1

Feshbach Resonance (2)

• The s-wave scattering length, a, diverges at resonance



Resonances in ⁶Li from Bourdel et al PRL 93 050401

Universal Number, ξ

• At resonance the only relevant energy scale is that of a noninteracting gas

$$E_{I} = \xi E_{FG} = \xi \frac{3k_{F}^{2}}{10m}$$

• This value, ξ , is believed to be universal when $k_F R_0 << 1$ where R_0 is the effective range of interaction

- Throughout we measure the interaction strength in units of $1/k_Fa$

Previous Work

2 previous studies using QMC,

- J. Carlson et al, PRL 91 050401:
- G.E. Astrakharchik et al, PRL 93 200404:

 $\xi = 0.44(1)$ $\xi = 0.42(1)$



• Other methods,

- Nishida et al: eprint cond-mat/0607835

 $\xi = 0.38(1)$

This Work

• Unequal particle numbers / unequal masses

r = *m*↓/*m*↑ *n* = density of particles *m* = magnetisation



From Parish et al, PRL 98 160402 (2007)

Normal Phase



Phase Separated



Magnetised Superfluid



This Work

• Unequal particle numbers / unequal masses

r = *m*↓/*m*↑ *n* = density of particles *m* = magnetisation



From Parish et al, PRL 98 160402 (2007)

The Model

Modelling the Feshbach Resonance

• Pauli-Exclusion Principle for parallel spin

•As interatomic potential << atom spacing, the exact form of the interaction is unimportant

• 2 types of interaction normally used...





• We use the Pöschl-Teller

Pairing Wavefunctions

• In QMC for a spin-independent operator we normally use a product of *Slater determinants,* one containing *n* up-spin and one *m*, down-spin one-particle orbitals, ϕ .

$$\Psi = \mathbf{e}^{J} \begin{vmatrix} \phi_{1}(r_{1\uparrow}) & \cdots & \phi_{n}(r_{1\uparrow}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi_{1}(r_{n\uparrow}) & \cdots & \phi_{n}(r_{n\uparrow}) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \phi_{1}(r_{1\downarrow}) & \cdots & \phi_{m}(r_{1\downarrow}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi_{1}(r_{m\downarrow}) & \cdots & \phi_{n}(r_{n\uparrow}) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \phi_{1}(r_{m\downarrow}) & \cdots & \phi_{m}(r_{m\downarrow}) \end{vmatrix}$$

· However, we want a wave function that explicitly describes pairing

Pairing Wavefunctions (2)

• We now use only one *Slater determinant*. It contains only one type of orbital, ϕ , which is a function of the distance between up and down particles

$$\Psi = \mathbf{e}^{J} \begin{vmatrix} \phi(r_{1\uparrow} - r_{1\downarrow}) & \cdots & \phi(r_{1\uparrow} - r_{n\downarrow}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi(r_{n\uparrow} - r_{1\downarrow}) & \cdots & \phi(r_{n\uparrow} - r_{n\downarrow}) \end{vmatrix}$$

• 3-types of ϕ have been tried

$$\begin{split} \phi = &\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i \exp(i(r \uparrow - r \downarrow)) & \text{-Pairing Plane-waves} \\ \phi = &\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i \exp(\beta_i (r \uparrow - r \downarrow)^2) & \text{-Pairing Gaussians} \\ \phi = &\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i (r \uparrow - r \downarrow)^i & \text{-Pairing Polynomials} \end{split}$$

• And combinations of the above

Jastrow factor + Backflow

• Jastrow factor of Drummond et al PRB 70 235119 (2004) (CASINO users, that's a Jastrow U + P)

$$J = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \alpha_{l} r_{ij}^{l} + \sum_{A} a_{A} \sum_{G_{A}} \cos(G_{A} \cdot r_{ij})$$

• Backflow corrections of *López Ríos et al PRE 74 066701 (2006)*

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{BF}(\boldsymbol{R}) = \mathbf{e}^{J(\boldsymbol{R})} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{s}(\boldsymbol{X})$$

$$\boldsymbol{x}_i = \boldsymbol{r}_i + \boldsymbol{\xi}_i(\boldsymbol{R})$$

• We optimise the Jastrow, Backflow and orbital parameters using VMC and *energy minimisation* (Umrigar *et al PRL* 98 110201 (2007))

• Conclude using DMC

Pairing Wavefunctions (3)

- Polynomial of order 20 + Jastrow U (Polynomial) $E_{VMC} = 0.650(4)$
- Gaussian $E_{VMC} = 0.664(2)$
- Gaussian + Jastrow U $E_{VMC} = 0.5195(6)$
- Gaussian + Jastrow U + Backflow (Eta) $E_{VMC} = 0.4745(1)$
- Gaussian + Jastrow U + Backflow (Eta) + Jastrow P $E_{VMC} = 0.4605(2)$

 $E_{DMC} \sim 4\%$ lower

Results

Present state

- Testing our ideas and CASINO with reproducing the value of $\boldsymbol{\xi}$
 - J. Carlson et al PRL 91 050401:
 - G.E. Astrakharchik et al PRL 93 200404:
 - This work (to date)

Condensate fraction:

~ 0.4 – not right yet!



 $\xi = 0.44(1)$

 $\xi = 0.42(1)$

Where next?

• Verify / improve on ξ

• Calculate 1- and 2-body density matrices

Calculate condensate fraction

• Move on to varied mass system

Acknowledgements

- Pablo Lopez-Rios
- Richard Needs
- Ben Simons

 Neil Drummond, John Trail, Alexander Badinski & Matt Brown

• EPSRC