# Electronic structure calculations go for a swim





Hatem H. Helal Electronic structure discussion group October 31, 2007

## Overview

• Why does solvation matter?

Implicit solvent model for density functional theory calculations

 Real space method for solving the Poisson equation in a dielectric medium

## So you want to study solvated systems?



Ubiquitin



## DNA packaging in nature



Hernan G. Garcia, Paul Grayson, Lin Han et al., Biopolymers **85** (2), 115 (2007). Helal 5

## Defining the free energy of solvation

Free energy cost of building up a molecule inside a solvent

#### $\Delta G_{\rm sol} = \Delta G_{\rm el} + \Delta G_{\rm cav}$

- The ideal solvent model
  - Provides accurate solvation energies without a ridiculous number of parameters
  - Uses a natural and straightforward definition of the solvent cavity
- D. A. Scherlis, J. L. Fattebert, F. Gygi et al., J. Chem. Phys. 124 (7), 074103 (2006).
- J. L. Fattebert and F. Gygi, Int. J. Quantum Chem 93 (2), 139 (2003).
- J. L. Fattebert and F. Gygi, J. Comput. Chem. 23 (6), 662 (2002).

## The standard DFT approach

$$E[\rho] = T[\rho] + E_{\rm xc}[\rho] + \int \rho(\mathbf{r})v_{\rm ion}(\mathbf{r})d\mathbf{r} + \frac{1}{2}\int \rho(\mathbf{r})\phi[\rho]d\mathbf{r}$$
  
Electrostatic term

 Given the electron density we compute the corresponding electrostatic potential via the Poisson equation:

 $\nabla^2 \phi = -4\pi\rho$ 

• Under periodic boundary conditions we compute the solution in reciprocal space as:

$$\phi(\mathbf{G}) = \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \frac{-4\pi}{\mathbf{G}^2} \rho(\mathbf{G}) e^{i\mathbf{G}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$$

## DFT with implicit solvent

• Replace the *explicit* solvent molecules with a dielectric continuum that *implicitly* replicates the electrostatic interaction between solvent and solute

$$\begin{array}{c} \epsilon[\rho(\mathbf{r})] & \longrightarrow & \nabla \cdot (\epsilon[\rho] \nabla \phi) = -4\pi\rho \\ \text{Dielectric functional} & \text{Poisson equation in the presence of a dielectric} \end{array}$$

• From this generalized Poisson equation the electrostatic energy can then be computed as:

$$E_{\rm es}[\rho] = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Omega} \epsilon[\rho] |\nabla \phi|^2 d\mathbf{r}$$

## DFT with implicit solvent

• The electrostatic contribution to the Kohn-Sham potential is found from the functional derivative:

$$\frac{\delta E_{\rm es}[\rho]}{\delta \rho} = \phi(\mathbf{r}) + V_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{r})$$

• The additional term arises from the dependence of the dielectric functional on the charge density

$$V_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv -\frac{1}{8\pi} |\nabla \phi(\mathbf{r})|^2 \frac{\delta \epsilon}{\delta \rho}$$

• This results in a tunable solvent cavity as selfconsistency is achieved

## Properties of the dielectric functional

- Uses the electron density to define the solvent cavity
- The dielectric functional is expressed as:

$$\epsilon[\rho(\mathbf{r})] = 1 + \frac{\epsilon_{\infty} - 1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1 - (\rho/\rho_0)^{2\beta}}{1 + (\rho/\rho_0)^{2\beta}} \right)$$

 This results in an implicit solvent model which only depends on two parameters



#### Dielectric functional for self-consistent solvent cavity



J. L. Fattebert and F. Gygi, J. Comput. Chem. 23 (6), 662 (2002).

Helal 11

## Solvent cavity



- Smooth surface of solvation cavity results from natural definition through the charge density
- Dielectric parameters chosen such that the solvation effect on the total energy matches the cohesion energy of liquid water

 $\beta = 1.3 \quad \rho_0 = 0.0004$ 

J. L. Fattebert and F. Gygi, J. Comput. Chem. 23 (6), 662 (2002).

 $\epsilon[\rho(\mathbf{r})] = 1.01$ 

## Solving the generalized Poisson equation

$$abla \cdot (\epsilon[\rho] \nabla \phi) = -4\pi \rho$$
  
 $\phi(\mathbf{r}) = 0$  on cell boundary

- Solved in real space by multigrid method
- Multigrid properties
  - Finite difference method but makes use of multiple meshes to gain accuracy and speed convergence
  - Scales linearly with the number of grid points
  - Interfaced multigrid solver from 'The Finite Element ToolKit' (<u>http://www.fetk.org</u>/) with CASTEP

## Cavitation energy

Simply the amount of work necessary to create the solvent cavity and can be estimated as

#### $\Delta G_{\rm cav} = \gamma S(\rho_0)$

• The cavity surface area for a given density threshold  $(\rho_0)$  and surface thickness  $(\Delta)$ 

$$S(\rho_0) = \int \frac{|\nabla \rho(\mathbf{r})|}{\Delta} \Big( \vartheta_{\rho_0 - \Delta/2}[\rho(\mathbf{r})] - \vartheta_{\rho_0 + \Delta/2}[\rho(\mathbf{r})] \Big) d\mathbf{r}$$

• The functional derivative gives an additional potential to include in the Kohn-Sham potential

#### Surface area of a Gaussian charge density



Helal 15

## Summary and a look ahead

- Introduced a general solvation model which effectively relies on only two parameters
- Implementation in CASTEP and eventually ONETEP
  - Interfaced with multigrid solver from 'The Finite Element ToolKit' (http:// www.fetk.org/) for calculating electrostatic contribution to solvation energy
  - Implementing cavitation contribution to solvation energy
- Eventually using this model to study the bending and packaging of DNA

## References and acknowledgments

#### • Founding papers

- D. A. Scherlis, J. L. Fattebert, F. Gygi et al., J. Chem. Phys. 124 (7), 074103 (2006).
- J. L. Fattebert and F. Gygi, Int. J. Quantum Chem **93** (2), 139 (2003)
- J. L. Fattebert and F. Gygi, J. Comput. Chem. 23 (6), 662 (2002).
- Many thanks to
  - Ismaila Dabo, Nicola Marzari, and Arash Mostofi
  - Mike Payne and Jonathan Yates