A Time-dependent method for electronic conduction and open systems

David Bowler, Department of Physics & Astronomy, University College London

david.bowler@ucl.ac.uk
http://www.cmmp.ucl.ac.uk/~drb/

and London Centre for Nanotechnology

http://www.london-nano.ucl.ac.uk/

David Bowler

Introduction

- Landauer introduced two key ideas to the physics of meso- and microscopic conduction:
 - The field in the device arises from the build up of carriers at localised scatterers
 - Conductance arises from (can be viewed as) transmission
- We consider (as below) left electrode, device, right electrode



Introduction(2)

• We can derive the formula for conductance, *G*, from both the Kubo and Keldysh formalisms:

$$G = \frac{2e^2}{h} \operatorname{Tr}\left(tt^{\dagger}\right) \tag{1}$$

• The accurate calculation of the transmission matrix, *t*, is key:

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(tt^{\dagger}\right) = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\Gamma_{L}G^{r}\Gamma_{R}G^{a}\right],\tag{2}$$

• Here $\Gamma_{R(L)}$ is the coupling to the right (left) lead and $G^{r(a)}$ is the retarded (advanced) Green's function for the device.

Introduction(3): Previous Work

- Scattering solutions (e.g. Lang, Todorov) are well known
- In effect, we start with *just* the electrodes



• This gives us scattering states ψ_L and ψ_R

Introduction(4): Previous Work

• The connection to the device is given by Lippmann-Schwinger equation:

$$\psi'_L = \psi_L + G \cdot V^{device} \cdot \psi'_L \tag{3}$$

- Here, *G* is the Green's function for the electrodes
- V^{device} is the *perturbing* potential of the device
- We can achieve the same result with non-equilibrium Green's functions and self-energies

$$G_D = \left(\epsilon - H_D - \Sigma_L - \Sigma_R\right)^{-1} \tag{4}$$

- Here the self-energy, Σ_L , is given by $\Sigma_L = h_{LD}^{\dagger} g_L h_{LD}$
- The coupling to leads is $\Gamma_L = i \left[\Sigma_L(\epsilon) \Sigma_L(\epsilon)^{\dagger} \right]$

Introduction(5): Previous Work

- There are many different implementations:
 - Tight-binding
 - Pure zero-bias scattering
 - DFT (with self-consistency)
 - Full non-equilibrium Green's functions (NEGF)
- Problems or limitations:
 - We can find current-induced forces (Todorov, DiVentra)
 - But solutions are adiabatic
 - Current-induced heating is unsatisfactory
 - Static DFT and excited states ?
 - We are populating empty states for current

Formalism: Time Dependence

- By shifting to the time domain, we find two key advantages:
 - Time-dependent DFT will give us a solid foundation for excited states and transients
 - We can introduce non-adiabatic terms to model current-induced heating of ions
- We consider the simplest possible approach to modelling non-adiabatic effects (Ehrenfest approximation)
- Ions move along unique classical trajectories (no ionic quantum motion; phonons are *classical*)
- Also known as semi-classical approximation

Formalism: Density Matrices

- We present a TB implementation using density matrices
- TB is the simplest quantitative quantum mechanical technique
- Why use the density matrix ?
 - Compact description of electrons
 - Useful in static calculations (link to DFT code)
 - Simplicity of forces
- However, they must be *finite ranged* for practical calculations
- Key problem: Can't use Lippmann-Schwinger equation (link to semi-infinite leads)
- How do we model the environment?

Formalism: Device and Environment

- System modelled consists of device (system of interest) and environment or leads (open boundaries)
- Environment defined by fixed Hamiltonian and atoms
- Equations of motion for density matrix and ions:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\rho}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \left[\hat{H}, \hat{\rho} \right]$$

$$M_I \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \vec{R}_I}{\mathrm{d}t^2} = -\vec{\nabla}_I V_{II} - Tr\{\hat{\rho} \vec{\nabla}_I \hat{H}\}$$
(6)

• We will integrate these numerically and simultaneously

Formalism: Device and Environment(2)

- We separate our system into device (D) and environment (E) (or leads)
- We can write:

$$\hbar \frac{\partial \hat{\rho}_D}{\partial t} = [\hat{H}_D, \hat{\rho}_D] + (\hat{H}_{DE}\hat{\rho}_{ED} - \hat{\rho}_{DE}\hat{H}_{ED})$$
(7)

$$\hat{h}\frac{\partial\hat{\rho}_{DE}}{\partial t} = \hat{H}_D\hat{\rho}_{DE} - \hat{\rho}_D\hat{H}_{DE} + \hat{H}_{DE}\hat{\rho}_E - \hat{\rho}_{DE}\hat{H}_E$$
 (8)

$$\bar{n}\frac{\partial\hat{\rho}_E}{\partial t} = [\hat{H}_E, \hat{\rho}_E] + (\hat{H}_{ED}\hat{\rho}_{DE} - \hat{\rho}_{ED}\hat{H}_{DE})$$
(9)

$$- 2i\hbar\Gamma(\hat{\rho}_E - \hat{\rho}_{ref}). \tag{10}$$

- The final term ($-i\hbar\Gamma(\hat{\rho}_E \hat{\rho}_{ref})$) is a damping term
- It represents inelastic scattering that takes the system back to ρ_{ref}

Formalism: Environment

- For the environment, we can find a closed form
- We define *driving terms:*

$$\hbar \hat{G}_E = (\hat{H}_{ED} \hat{\rho}_{DE} - \hat{\rho}_{ED} \hat{H}_{DE})$$
(11)

$$0 = [\hat{H}_E, \hat{\rho}_E(0)] + i\hbar \hat{G}_E^{(0)} - 2i\hbar \Gamma(\hat{\rho}_E(0) - \hat{\rho}_{ref})$$
(12)

• Using the interaction picture, and assuming *time independent* \hat{H} , we find:

$$\hat{\rho}_E(t) = \hat{\rho}_E(0) + \int_0^t \mathrm{d}x \; \hat{O}(x) \left(\hat{G}_E(t-x) - \hat{G}_E^{(0)} \right) \hat{O}^{\dagger}(x) \tag{13}$$

where $\hat{O}(t) = e^{-\Gamma t} e^{\hat{H}_E t / i\hbar}$

• Note that eq. 13 is non-local in time (non-Markovian)

Formalism: Environment(2)

- Find O matrix using Green's functions
- How does the O matrix behave over time ?



- It is short-ranged: we can truncate in time
- This will also result in spatial truncation: finite propagation

Formalism: Device

• The device is easier: we allow \hat{H} to vary, and simply integrate numerically:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\rho}_D}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}\hbar} \left[\hat{H}_D, \hat{\rho}_D \right] + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}\hbar} (\hat{H}_{DE} \hat{\rho}_{ED} - \hat{\rho}_{DE} \hat{H}_{ED})$$
(14)

$$\rho_D(t + \Delta t) \approx \rho_D(t - \Delta t) + 2\Delta t \rho'_D(t)$$
(15)

- **BUT** we have to assume that the matrix $\hat{\rho}_{DE}$ is **short-ranged**
- This is reasonable:
 - We know that, with scattering, ρ is local
 - We assert that the environment is large: the effect of the device is small
- We can also view $\frac{1}{i\hbar}(\hat{H}_{DE}\hat{\rho}_{ED} \hat{\rho}_{DE}\hat{H}_{ED})$ as a driving term resulting from truncation

Results

• Simple model: 1D chain of atoms, tight binding, 3 atom device, central atom barrier



- Nearest neighbour hopping: $t_{ii+1} = t_0 f(r_{ii+1})$
- Timestep 2 attos (2×10^{-18} s)

Results: Zero bias

- In TB we can write $I_{ii+1} = H_{ii+1} \operatorname{Im} (\rho_{ii+1})$
- Plot current in and out of device (white), in and out of scatterer (orange)
- At zero bias, the current settles to a steady state



Results: Switching on bias

• We switch on a bias of -0.1V from 7.5 to 12.5 fs



Results: Applying gate voltage

• Apply varying "gate voltage" to central atom



Results: Cooling with small bias

• Allow central atom to move after 35fs with bias of -0.1V: see cooling



• Vibrational frequency has energy ($\hbar\omega$) of 0.055 eV

Results: Heating with large bias

• Bias -1.0V: large heating effect



• Small bias only allows limited range of transitions; large bias allows transitions between a significant range of BO surfaces

Conclusions

- New formalism for time evolution of density matrix
- Requires non-Markovian time evolution

• A steady state can be achieved (with damping)

- "Gate voltage" effects show sensible, physical behaviour
- Heating and cooling are seen

• Intend to look at implementing quantum contributions to ionic motion

Acknowledgements

- Andrew Horsfield
- Andrew Fisher
- Royal Society, EPSRC (funding)

david.bowler@ucl.ac.uk
http://www.cmmp.ucl.ac.uk/~drb/

http://www.london-nano.ucl.ac.uk/

Results: Current during cooling

• Cooling current



Results: Current during heating

• Heating current



Results: Positions during cooling

• Cooling position (central atom)



Results: Positions during heating

• Heating position (central atom)

